

Radiation and Plasma Effects Working Group

- **Surface Charging** *few eV - keV electrons, plasma density*
(Leads: J. Minow, D. Pitchford, N. Ganushkina) **SSA-6 TEAM AGENDA**
Plasma/neutrals across a broad energy range and with different origins
- **Internal Charging** *keV–MeV electrons*
(Leads: P. O'Brien, Y. Shprits) **SSA-6 TEAM AGENDA**
Ring current, aurora, plasma sheet, Radiation belt electrons, trapped proton belt, SEPs, GCRs , terrestrial gamma ray flash
- **Single Event Effects** *MeV–GeV protons, ions*
(Leads: M. Xapsos, J. Mazur, P. Jiggins) **SSA-3,SSA-6 TEAM AGENDA**
- **Total Dose** *keV–MeV electrons, keV–GeV protons, GCR ions*
(Leads: I. Jun, T. Guild, M. Xapsos)
SSA-6 TEAM AGENDA
- **Radiation effects for aviation**
(Leads: K. Tobiska, M. Meier) **SSA-6 TEAM AGENDA**

What we have done so far

- Identified different users
- Identified focus (mostly on space environment)
- Identified energy spectra is needed for all impact quantification
- Identified key physical parameters/quantities for the effects
- Metrics (nontrivial)
 - Headline type/signature type (easily understood by satellite/aviation users)
 - Comprehensive science type
- Metrics
 - Statistical evaluation using O'Brien "green anomalies" technique (instantaneous value over statistical average)
 - Event/interval type of validation
 - Sensitivity study (vary space weather environment to see changes in impacts)

Interaction with Other Teams



- Katherine Winters – launch commit considerations
- Key team members participated in Tuesday's SEP session
- Get ideas from other focus teams
- Surface charging \leftrightarrow auroral dynamics
- Will do more during the remainder of the workshop and onward

1st CCMC-International Meeting: International CCMC-LWS Working Meeting 3-7 April 2017

Issues or Problems Impeding Progress

- Need spectra/dose measurements
- Standardized dosimeter, cross-calibration, interpretation of dose measurements inside shielding such as aircraft, ISS
- Recommend NASA missions have environment/effects sensors (dosimeters)

Team plans for the rest of the week
Further refine metrics/identify tasks

Surface Charging Status

- Initial effort will focus on high priority GEO, MEO, GTO, and LEO polar environments where surface charging can exceed hundreds of volts
- User groups include spacecraft designers, operational situational awareness, anomaly investigations, and impact on science measurements
- Metrics (team is evaluating options):
 - Statistical evaluation using O'Brien "green anomalies" technique (instantaneous value over statistical average)
 - Parameters used for inputs to charging models
 - GEO, MEO, GTO: Ne, Te, Ni, Ti or other
 - LEO polar (auroral): Ne, E_{beam} , ΔE_{beam} , and other Fontheim parameters
 - Flux spectra at different locations
- Environment models (initial focus):
 - Ovation – CCMC implementation
 - LANL model (Vania Jordanova)
 - IMPTAM (Natalia Ganjushkina), run online in near-real time since 2013
- Spacecraft charging models (secondary effort)
 - NASCAP
 - SPIS
 - SPENVIS, MUSCAT, and other small group's charging codes

Internal Charging Metrics

- We designate a small number of **headline metrics**, plus **an expanded set of comprehensive metrics**. The program should mark progress via the headline metrics, while individual projects mark progress with the comprehensive metrics.

-

Internal charging headline metrics:

- User Metrics: % Green anomalies for 24-hour average current beneath 100 mils Al spherical, $\gamma=1$
 - GEO (GOES)
 - GTO (RBSP)
- Science Metrics: Omnidirectional differential or integral flux
 - >2 MeV @ GOES, 5 minute averages
 - 1 MeV @ RBSP, 1 minute averages (includes inner zone)

-

Internal charging events/intervals

- 2015 has some nice big storms, RBSP data to validate
- The March, April, June, and July 2015 storms

-

Internal charging "comprehensive" metrics:

- User Metrics: % Green anomalies for 6, **24**, 72-hour average current beneath 40, **100**, 350 mils Al spherical, $\gamma=1$
 - **GEO (GOES)**
 - **GTO (RBSP)**
 - LEO (POES)
 - HEO (TWINS-2)
 - GPS
- Science Metrics: **Omnidirectional and locally mirroring** differential or integral flux
 - 0.1, 0.3, 1 MeV, **>2 MeV @ GOES, (or LANL) 5 minute averages**
 - 0.1, 0.3, **1 MeV, 2 MeV @ RBSP, 1 minute averages (includes inner zone)**

Internal Charging Metrics (continued)

- We are not currently addressing how the metrics account for model error: is it really a “Green” anomaly if the model error bar included some yellow?
 - We are not addressing mission design specs (Satellite design users, govt agency, insurers): out of scope, and hard to validate a 95% confidence value for 10-year worst case without 200 years of data. Best we could do is attempt to specify 3 largest events in GOES >2 MeV since 1986 as 1 in 10 year worst case.
 - How do we address designer, insurer, govt agency needs? By including most severe, well-observed events in our validation set.
-
- Models:
 - VERB, Salamambo, RBE/CRCM, DREAM, BAS, Rice REM
 - CRRESELE in Ap mode
 - GREEP, Ukhorskiy nearest neighbors, SWPC REFM, NARMAX

Total Ionizing Dose (and Displacement Damage Dose)

- Identify user groups
 - Satellite designer (SD) for both commercial and government
 - Satellite operators and anomaly analysts (SOAA) for both commercial and government
 - Scientists (SCI) for both academia and government
- Identify physical parameters/metrics for each user group
 - SD: Dose-depth for the mission
 - SOAA: Dose-depth from launch to given time
 - SCI: proton and electron energy spectra
 - Electrons for > 100 keV
 - Protons for > 1 MeV
- Identify empirical models for each metric
 - Trapped: AE8/AP8; AE9/AP9/SPM; IGE2006/POLE (other older models are also available (e.g., CRESSELE, CRESSPRO, etc.))
 - Solar: King; JPL; ESP/PSYCHIC; SAPPHIRE
- Identify physical model for each metric
 - Trapped: SALAMMBO; DREAM
 - Solar: SOLPENCO
- Error metrics to consider:
- Long-term variability of trapped particles (data-based statistical analysis?) at different locations within planetary magnetosphere(s)
- Most of the error metrics used for Internal Charging Working Group seem to apply here, too.
- Statistics of solar proton environment: fluence spectra
- Model predicted dose vs. measured dose (e.g., CRaTER instrument)
- Measured solar proton event spectra for individual events vs. physical model prediction

SEEs: Identified empirical/statistical models

- Trapped protons
 - AP9 (also AP8 still used in some standards);
 - PSB97 + update (local model based on SAMPEX/PET)
- SEPs
 - ESP-PSYCHIC
 - JPL
 - MSU
 - SAPPHIRE
- GCRs
 - ISO-15390 GCR model
 - Badhwar-O'Neill (BON)
 - DLR GCR model
- Magnetospheric Modelling codes:
 - ESHIEM-MSM (magnetospheric shielding code)
 - Shea and Smart model

Relevant parameters

- a) SD+SLAO (SEU rate): proton fluxes (>30 MeV & > 50 MeV) [radiation belt peak values (5-minute); worst-case SEP values; worst-case solar particle event (SPE) fluence]
- b) SD (SEL/SEB probability): proton fluxes (>30 MeV & > 50 MeV) [Orbit-averaged radiation belt flux (fluence); cumulative SEP fluence]
- c) SD+SLAO+SO: Abundance ratios and charge states of SEP heavy ions ($Z > 2$) [extension to event-to-event variability/distributions if possible]
- d) SD+SLAO: LET behind nominal shielding** (1 g.cm⁻²)

***application of particle transport codes as black box only to derive useful quantities*

Validation methods

- a). Statistical evaluation using O'Brien "green anomalies" technique
- b. Event /interval based

Radiation Effects for Aviation

- Aviation **models** (Daniel Mettler)
 - More than dozens of models are available worldwide
 - Monte Carlo transport
 - Deterministic transport
 - Data-driven models
- Aviation **radiation data** for scientific modeling and operations (Kent Tobiska)
 - ARMAS project (which incorporate USAF's REACH effort)
 - Measurements in Europe/elsewhere in the world
- **D-index** for communicating with users/public (Matthias Meier)-- A new Space Weather Index for Aviation based on dose rate, not on >10 MeV proton flux outside the magnetic/atmospheric shielding
 - Due to magnetic and atmospheric shielding, only particles > 500 MeV matters to aviation

Leverage the LWS institute SAFESKY effort: PI Kent Tobiska