

# CCMC Workshop 11-14 Oct, 2005



#### **METRICS & VALIDATION Session**

# AFSPC-SMC Metrics and V&V Needs





**Wednesday Evening** 

Stephen Quigley
AFRL/VSBX at SMC/WXTG



# Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Outline



- SMC-AFRL Overview
- Metrics
- VnV
- Summary





### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Overview



- Ultimately, the science and operations communities are concerned about various forms of light, fields, and particles that make-up/effect the geospatial environment.
- SMC & AFSPC (transition & ops) are additionally concerned with the environment's effect on systems
  - Aka: System Impact Products
  - System impact products combine the measured or modeled environments with engineering specs of the systems effected, along with system-impact algorithms, to provide real-time nowcasts & forecasts of environmental effects on the systems
    - Opsend and seefs (ssa env effects Fusion System) product information now deemed FOUO, so not specifically presentable here
  - SMC is working to get SEEFS system-impact products <u>and</u> <u>higher-level decision aids</u> operationalized for the DoD and NASA



# Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Overview



- SMC's current plan is for env inputs (to the system impact products) to be derived from env models based on a general backbone with corresponding physics- and data fusion-based specific applications.
  - Given limited resources, we're open to a central model
     (MHD backbone) with interchangeable sub models
  - Our community must settle on sub-model interfaces





### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Metrics - General



- Metrics should be same for same type of model
  - Basic guidance use the National Space Weather Program (NSWP) determined metrics suggested for the various environmental regimes
    - They need to be re-reviewed/reconsidered
  - The CCMC OWG, along with SMC/WXT & AFRL/VSBX should play a role in defining validation metrics
- Our own system impact product metrics will be tied to delivered model/module metrics
  - If significant validation is provided by the CCMC to SMC before delivery of a model, resultant testing could show cases in which delivery of a new CCMC-blessed model is not desired.



# Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Metrics - Products



- Current and near-term AFRL-SMC products designed for use by AFSPC, etc. require the following real-time environmental specifications and forecasts (3-5 days desired).
- They represent known parameters to be modeled with optimal accuracy in magnitude, space, and time; and <u>may be considered in</u> <u>determining some metrics</u>.
  - Solar radio background & burst freqs, start/max/end times, fluxes
  - Aurora location & intensities
  - Sub-auroral polarization stream (SAPS) location & intensities
  - lonospheric scintillation zones locations & intensities
  - Magnetospheric particle locations and intensities (energetic electrons, galactic cosmic rays)
  - lonospheric electron content location and intensities
  - SAA particle content location and intensities
  - Meteors location and flux



### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Metrics - Data Quality & Confidence



- AFSPC has a requirement for system-impact products and their higher level decision aids to be 90% accurate, 80% of the time.
  - Not a chance in hell for our first SEEFS products, but value added and baselines established
- Note: Confidence levels in SEEFS products
  - Confidence level is a number (relative to 100%) that indicates how much confidence a SEEFS product user should have in the output of the product.
  - Currently, it <u>is</u> a <u>gross</u> combination/conglomeration/<u>average</u> of the <u>estimated or</u> known time, location, and/or intensity errors associated with the product's environmental inputs, env models, system specifications, system thresholds, and system-impact model applications.
  - It should ultimately be a specific combination of known...
- Output error bars (precision) could be seen as a general metric for all models/products.
- We need a program to determine/establish input data and model output error bars
  - NOTE: Operational product validations have provided confidence levels
  - Model validations should result in model output error bars



### >3 Text Pic Slide







### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Metrics - Hardware/Software Issues



- For science and especially operations, we should consider computer efficiency as a metric with regard to space weather models.
  - SMC offered to run McCabe tool against any R&D code
    - It provides a subroutine map and corresponding assessment of module complexity
      - Indicator of bottlenecks probability
- CCMC-delivered models have been developed to run on a Clearcube/Beowulf cluster.
- SMC intends to partner with CISM/CSEM/CCMC team via LASP at the CU to run their models



### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC VnV - General



#### Verification & Validation

- Should be done prior to operationalization
- Should be done by non-vested-interest parties - CCMC is ideal
- Must compare model output to ground truth (obs)
- Should include hundreds/thousands of cases (1-11 yrs preferred)
- Should be accomplished using specific "canned" inputs & ground truth data sets
  - For each type of model
  - Covering various env conditions, times, data sources, etc
  - Covering various known qualities of input data
    - "perfect" filtered data
    - "normal" quality-controlled rea-time data
    - "messy" non QC'd real-time data
  - Not to be known by the modelers?
    - Pros and cons, but blind method is most proper



### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC VnV - General



- Note: There's a distinct difference between validation of an operational model (ex PRISM) and that of an operational system impact product that uses output from that model (ex HF Illumination)
- SMC (thru AFSPC) funded a \$2M VnV project completed in 2004 to validate OpSEND system impact products and their associated env models (RAC, UHF SatScint, GPS SFE, HFI, PRISM)
  - Results lead to improved models & products, and later product confidence levels
- Recommendation: CCMC will conduct V&V only on env models,
   NOT on system impact products (OpSEND/SEEFS-like, etc)
  - Classification of system specs & ground-truth data is the main factor
- Ultimately, continuous near real-time validation will be needed with dynamic feedback for data fusion technologies (recalibration/tuning) to be employed in ops
- CCMC should deliver validation results to SMC/WXT



### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC VnV - GAIM



- Validation of USU GAIM by AFRL and CCMC is underway
  - SMC and AFRL Maintained Recommendation:
     Comparative validation of USU GAIM and USC GAIM





## Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Summary-1



- Our community must settle on model interfaces
- We need a program to establish/determine input data and model error bars
- CCMC operations and validations will deal solely with environmental models, NOT system impact products
- Need comparative validation of USU & USC GAIM models
- Output error bars (precision) could be seen as a general metric for all models/products.
- We need a program to determine/establish input data and model output error bars
  - Model validations should result in model output error bars



### Metrics & Validation - AFSPC/SMC Summary-2



- The following system impact product inputs may be considered in determining some model output metrics:
  - Solar radio background & burst freqs, start/max/end time, fluxes
  - Aurora location & intensities
  - Sub-auroral polarization stream (SAPS) location & intensities
  - lonospheric scintillation zones locations & intensities
  - Magnetosphere particle (electrons & GCRs) location & intensities
  - lonospheric electron content location & intensities
  - SAA particle content location & intensities
  - Meteors location & flux



### >3 Text Pic Slide









### • EXTRA SLIDES



### SMC/WXT & AFRL/VSBX Setup & Interactions



- Located at Peterson AFB, CISF
- On-Site Personnel approx 50
- Computer Systems
  - Clearcube 64 Processors
  - Suns
    - Including a Sunfire 4800 with 8 UltraSparc processors
      - For internal use in running CCMC-delivered models
      - Part of the CCMC for use at night (no live comm scripted use only)
  - PCs
  - Ops System Pseudo-Clones
- Combination Effort
  - SMC/WXT at PAFB
  - AFRL/VSBX at HAFB
- Interactions
  - Customers (AFSPC, AFWA, etc)
  - CCMC, NOAA/SEC, NASA, etc
  - UPOS



#### **SMC/AFRL** Activities



#### General

- Develop operational products natural and manmade env effects
- Test data, models, and products for operational use
- Advanced consideration/consult on future space wx data use

#### Specific

- Recent Successes
  - OVATION to AFWA
  - SEEFS (Arch I & Spiral I Product)
- Current Work
  - SEEFS (Arch II & Spiral II Products)
  - ME
  - SAA Proton Product
- Future Possibilities
  - SEEFS (Arch III & Spiral III Products)



### **CCMC Operational Issues Model Selection Process**



- Should we (DoD) have all the currently used operational models run through the CCMC validation process
  - as initial baseline for later competing models?
  - as a baseline for inter-branch competing models
    - for those cases in which different branches of the military are using different models to specify (etc) the same environment?



### **CCMC Operational Issues Model Selection Process**



- Recommendation for consideration...
- IF a model is developed using government funding, the modelers should be held to the following:
  - The code itself shall follow internationally established standards concerning explanatory comments, etc
  - If the government/DoD wants to use, or consider for use, the model for operations, it can.
  - If the model is deemed desirable for ops use, the model developers shall provide any assistance or consultation necessary/requested concerning transfer of the model
    - validation documentation modularization
  - Additional funding for any additional work accomplished is assumed.



# CCMC Operational Issues Delivery Issues



- CCMC to SMC/WXT Model Delivery Issues
  - Documents
    - Users Manual
    - Validation results
    - Published papers?
  - Initial Testing