

# Notes from Director and Agency Reports Session

Agencies concur that the CCMC has performed superbly – congratulations on a job well done!

Nonetheless, discussion after the Hesse and Walker presentations revealed the following issues:

- **Models need data!** Concerns expressed about the lack of SPWX sensors on NPOESS; lack of funding for a dedicated L1 spacecraft; GAIM models that require data assimilation – communicate this to the Lanzerotti joint panel for assessing the NSWP... visit this web site:  
[http://www.nswp.gov/nswp\\_comminput.php](http://www.nswp.gov/nswp_comminput.php)
- **Customers need models!** (Students, researchers, operators) How do we evaluate and ingest the appropriate models? Community awareness of CCMC's role in model evaluation and development (especially the operational component) needs to be enhanced
- **We can all help advertise the CCMC's role** -- for example: electronic newsletters (SPA, SPD, GEM, etc.); articles in EOS, AGU Space Weather Journal; announcements through NSWP, LWS, CEDAR, GEM, SHINE; increased presence at NOAA SEC's Space Weather Week
- **CCMC as a clearinghouse for space physics models**

# Notes from Steering Committee Lunch

The Steering Committee plans to reinvigorate the Science & Operations Working Groups (WGs)

- New membership (5-6 persons per group) to be determined within 30 days; Ray Walker has volunteered to lead the Science Working Group
- A one-page Terms of Reference (“marching orders”) will be composed for each group; WG Terms and WG members will be published on the CCMC web site; WGs will serve in an advisory capacity only – Steering Committee decisions will be drawn from WG input and other sources
- WGs will be consulted electronically (via email and/or telephone) on a quarterly basis for their input on models to be ingested at the CCMC; developers will continue to be free to submit models for consideration at any time via the CCMC web site (preferred) or direct contact to CCMC
- WGs will serve as a two-way conduit between the broader community and the Steering Committee – but the WGs are NOT an exclusive pathway; the CCMC Steering Committee will also accept community input from any source at any time (although the WGs represent the preferred path)
- The Steering Committee solicits community feedback and advice on the format of the next CCMC workshop – should it be open to all, or be by invitation only? Where should we meet?