



Validating Thermospheric Neutral Density Models using GEODYN's Precision Orbit Determination

Z.C. Waldron¹, K. Garcia-Sage², E.K. Sutton¹, J.P. Thayer¹, V. Ray¹, CCMC, and the GEODYN Teams

¹CU Boulder Space Weather Technology, Research, and Education Center ²NASA Goddard, Space Weather Laboratory, Community Coordinated Modeling Center







Project Goals

- Develop a methodology and tool that can use 1. GEODYN's POD to validate upper atmospheric density models
- 2. Allow POD program to access many density models while remaining user-friendly
- Perform a case-study validation using ICESat-2 3.

Contributors

- Space Weather Technology, Research, Education Center (SWx TREC) Gas-Vehicles Interaction Team
- CCMC and the Kamodo Team
- **GSFC** Geodesy and Geophysics Laboratory ٠



Summary

GEODYN ASCII art from an output file



Image and logo from the ICESat-2 Visualization Gallery





Validation as Motivation

- Leverages the high-precision nature of space geodetic POD, but refashioned to focus on satellite drag and density model validation.
- 2. Takes advantage of the global coverage becoming available through other GNSS-enabled satellites
- Almost any high-quality POD solution can theoretically be used for density model validation through this new tool



Animated GIF of the ICESat-2's Polar Orbit from the ICESat-2 Visualization Gallery





Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using ICESAT-2 input parameters

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN

Step 3: Perform the Validation

ICESat-2 Mission POD:

Re-determined orbits:



MSIS2

Methodology





Results

Methodology

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary

Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using ICESat-2 input parameters

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN







Methodology

Results



Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using ICESat-2 input parameters

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN



The GEODYN II Software

- Precision orbit determination and geodetic parameter estimation tool
- Made by the GSFC Geodesy and Geophysics Laboratory
- Used on every NASA geodetic Earth and planetary altimeter mission since 1985.
- Provides very high-fidelity conservative and non-conservative force modelling





Results

Methodology



Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using ICESat-2 input parameters

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN



The Pygeodyn Wrapper

- Makes it easier to use GEODYN for satellite drag studies
- Makes it easier to add and run multiple density models





Methodology

Input

Setup and

Control Files

Ongoing/Future Concluding Efforts Summary

Constructed by GEODYN team

Use ICESat-2

POD as PCE

Tracking data

Input

GNSS Tracking

Data

GEODYN

(Empirical

Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using **ICESat-2** input parameters

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN





Concluding Summary





Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using ICESAT-2 input parameters

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN



Construct an ICESat-2 POD-based orbit fit using each density model

- Semi-empirical models are written directly into the GEODYN source code.
- More complex physics-based model outputs are interfaced via Kamodo

JB2008

DTM2020







Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using ICESAT-2 input parameters

Methodology

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN

Step 3: Perform the Validation

Key Assumptions

- All parameters other than atmospheric density are held constant
- Drag coefficient is fixed at $C_D = 2.2$
- Empirical Accelerations are turned off





Results



Step 1: Prepare GEODYN II for drag study using ICESAT-2 input parameters

Methodology

Step 2: Use different density models to re-determine the ICESat-2 orbit using GEODYN



Key Assumptions

- All parameters other than atmospheric density are held constant
- Drag coefficient is fixed at $C_D = 2.2$







Results



Validation Metrics for Model Densities are the In-track Residuals and the In-Track RMS errors

Methodology

In-track Residuals

- Drag effects are seen most clearly in the in-track direction
- Relative deviations from PCE orbit are treated as a proxy for model densities differing from a true density (unknown)

In-track RMSe

• Indicates how well the output orbit matches the PCE orbit over a given arc. The RMSe is the square root of the variance of the residuals.





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts





Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary NASA

Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary NASA

Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive

PCE — Model Orbit Majority of the error and variations should be due to drag





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary NASA

Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive

PCE – Model Orbit

Majority of the error and variations should be due to drag

Relative deviations from PCE orbit are treated as a proxy for model densities differing from a true density (unknown)





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary NASA

Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive

PCE – Model Orbit Majority of the error and variations should

be due to drag

Relative deviations from PCE orbit are treated as a proxy for model densities differing from a true density (unknown)





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary

Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive

HASDM outputs densities that are close to the unknown truth, and JB2008 performs the best of the semi-empirical and physics-models





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary



Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive

The HASDM densities are closest to the true density (unknown)





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary

Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive

The strongly negative in-track residuals of **MSIS2** and **TIEGCM**

- Modeled density to be too high
 - Causes more drag acceleration
 - Density model orbit is behind the PCE orbit.





Methodology

Results

Ongoing/Future Efforts Concluding Summary NASA

Results and Discussion

- Represents a validation of atmospheric models under the following conditions/assumptions:
 - Low-to-minor geomagnetic activity, deep solar minimum
 - ICESat-2 orbit altitude of ~496 km
 - $C_D = 2.2$
 - Method captures large/global scale density variations, of which, drag is most sensitive

The strongly positive in-track residuals of **DTM2020** and **CTIPe**

- Modeled density to be too low
 - Causes less drag acceleration
 - Density model orbit is ahead of the PCE orbit









Ongoing Efforts and Future Work

- Implementing a physical CD model into GEODYN such that a density scaling factor can be extracted
- Add more models: GITM and WAM-IPE
- Expand ICESat-2 time period
- Expanding to new satellites and their POD solutions



Ongoing Efforts and Future Work

- Implementing a physical CD model into GEODYN such that a density scaling factor can be extracted
- Add more models: GITM and WAM-IPE
- Expand ICESat-2 time period
- Expanding to new satellites and their POD solutions

Concluding Remarks and Questions

- 1. We show our tool can use GEODYN's POD to validate upper atmospheric density models
- 2. Allow POD program to access many density models while remaining userfriendly
- 3. Perform a case-study validation using ICESat-2
 - HASDM performs best under these conditions, JB2008 performed 2nd best







3-month result

